## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI ABERDEEN DIVISION

DAVID EARL LYONS PETITIONER

v. No. 1:16CV143-SA-DAS

MARSHALL FISHER, ET AL.

RESPONDENTS

### **CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

A final order having been issued in either a *habeas corpus* proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2241 or in a proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, the Court, considering the record in the case and the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), hereby finds that

#### **PART A**

The applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

### **SPECIFIC ISSUE(S):**

A certificate of appealability should not issue.

### **REASONS FOR DENIAL:**

For the reasons stated in the opinion, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to "demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." 

\*\*Barefoot v. Estelle\*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4, 103 S.Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L.Ed.2d 1090 (1993)

(superceded by statute) (citations and quotations omitted); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(1) and (2). Specifically, the court finds, for the reasons set forth in its, memorandum opinion and final judgment, that the instant petition for a writ of \*habeas corpus\* should be dismissed for failure to exhaust state remedies.

### PART B (if applicable)

The party appealing is entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

**☐** The party appealing is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

# **REASONS FOR DENIAL:**

The Court finds that the Petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith because it is frivolous and has no possibility of success. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24.

**SO ORDERED**, this, the 4th day of August, 2017.

/s/ Sharion Aycock
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE